1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	HOUSTON DIVISION
3	
4	DANTE GORDON
	V. * 2:22 P.M. to 2:56 P.M.
5	* SIG SAUER, INC. * AUGUST 21, 2019
6	HEARING ON MOTIONS
7	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUSTICE LEE H. ROSENTHAL
8	Volume 1 of 1 Volume APPEARANCES
9	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Mr. Joseph L. Marchese
	Bursor & Fisher, P.A.
10	888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019
11	(646) 837-7150 and
12	Mr. Brandon Allen
13	Reynolds Frizzell, LLP 1100 Louisiana
14	Suite 3500 Houston, Texas 77002
	(713) 485-7200
15	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
16	Mr. David Brent Dwerlkotte Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP
17	2555 Grand Boulevard
18	Kansas City, Missouri 64108–2613 (816) 474–6550
19	and Mr. Robert Laurent Joyce
	Littleton Park
20	4 Manhattanville Road Suite 202
21	Purchase, New York 10577 (914) 417-3419
22	
23	Court Reporter: Laura Wells, RPR, RMR, CRR
24	515 Rusk Street, Suite 8004 Houston, Texas 77002
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-assisted transcription.
	Laura Wells, CRR, RDR

	1	PROCEEDINGS
	2	THE COURT: All right. Dante Gordon v. SIG
	3	Sauer. Come on up and state your appearances.
	4	MR. MARCHESE: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
02:22:52	5	Joseph Marchese of Bursor & Fisher for the plaintiff.
	6	MR. ALLEN: Brandon Allen with Reynolds Frizzell,
	7	co-counsel for the plaintiff.
	8	MR. DWERLKOTTE: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
	9	Brent Dwerlkotte for defendant SIG Sauer.
02:23:10	10	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
	11	MR. JOYCE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Rob
	12	Joyce with Littleton Park for defendant SIG Sauer.
	13	THE COURT: Thank you. All right. So we have
	14	some very interesting issues. Most of the issues deal
02:23:44	15	with whether a claim has been stated but, more
	16	importantly, whether certification of the classes that are
	17	proposed is permissible. A very interesting case.
	18	Have there been any other cases, based on this alleged
	19	defect, that have been filed?
02:24:03	20	MR. JOYCE: No, Your Honor. As indicated in the
	21	papers, there is a class action pending in Missouri
	22	alleging a different unrelated alleged defect.
	23	THE COURT: With the same model?
	24	MR. JOYCE: The same model, right. But that
02:24:23	25	hasn't that's just at the allegation stage. That's
		Taura Walls CDD DDD

	1	also subject to a pending dispositive motion.
	2	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Okay. I did want
	3	to ask questions of counsel for the plaintiff focusing on
	4	the allegations that Mr. Gordon understood from reviewing
02:24:55	5	unspecified materials that there had been certain
	6	representations made.
	7	He doesn't ever, as best I can tell, tie together or
	8	connect what he reviewed to the "Safety Without
	9	Compromise" marketing campaign that he references.
02:25:25	10	Doesn't allege when it started. Doesn't allege what it
	11	was that he looked at. Doesn't allege when he looked at
	12	it. Doesn't allege the specific statements made. He just
	13	says I looked at stuff and here is what I understood.
	14	How can that be enough and why isn't there more?
02:25:43	15	MR. MARCHESE: Thank you, Your Honor. Actually,
	16	in Paragraph 8 of the first-amended complaint we do allege
	17	the specific representations, which is that the gun was
	18	quote-unquote drop safe.
	19	THE COURT: But where was that representation?
02:26:01	20	MR. MARCHESE: It was in the marketing materials.
	21	THE COURT: What marketing materials?
	22	MR. MARCHESE: I believe, standing here today,
	23	that it was on the website.
	24	THE COURT: Okay. That's not alleged. You need
02:26:13	25	to allege the when, the what, the how. This is a 9(b)

	1	heightened disclosure requirement. You don't allege that.
	2	MR. MARCHESE: With respect to warranty claims, I
	3	mean, the plaintiff is bringing a variety of warranty
	4	claims, Your Honor.
02:26:31	5	THE COURT: Yes.
	6	MR. MARCHESE: Also bringing a claim for unjust
	7	enrichment.
	8	THE COURT: And fraud.
	9	MR. MARCHESE: Some fraud and then the Texas
02:26:39	10	Deceptive Practices Trade Practices Act and the
	11	warrantied claims, Your Honor, are not a 9(b) pleading
	12	standard. That's a Rule 8 pleading standard. And so I,
	13	you know, respectfully disagree that with respect to those
	14	claims we have to meet the heightened standard.
02:26:59	15	THE COURT: But on the claims that do require a
	16	heightened pleading standard, that do have that apply, can
	17	you amend to make clearer precisely what he looked at and
	18	precisely what statements were on what he looked at when
	19	he looked at them in relationship to when he purchased the
02:27:20	20	weapon?
	21	MR. MARCHESE: I believe we can do that, Your
	22	Honor.
	23	THE COURT: But you haven't yet?
	24	MR. MARCHESE: Well, I had I had thought that
02:27:28	25	our allegations were sufficient because in Paragraph 8 of

	1	the complaint, although he doesn't allege a specific time,
	2	the plaintiff does state that he remembers reviewing the
	3	drop-safe claims prior to making his purchase and that he
	4	relied on those claims in making his purchase and he also
02:27:50	5	states that he would not have purchased the gun had he
	6	known that those that those claims were not true. So
	7	that's all in there.
	8	THE COURT: And if I found it insufficient, you
	9	think that
02:28:04	10	MR. MARCHESE: Well, I would ask I would ask
	11	for leave to amend if Your Honor had thought that that was
	12	insufficient.
	13	THE COURT: Okay. In what years did SIG Sauer
	14	make the safety begin the "Safety Without Compromise"
02:28:19	15	campaign? Does anybody know?
	16	MR. JOYCE: Well, Your Honor, the assertion that
	17	the plaintiff relied on a statement on the
	18	THE COURT: Drop safety.
	19	MR. JOYCE: on the website that the firearm
02:28:38	20	won't discharge unless you pull the trigger, I think is
	21	what he is referring to, and then ignored the actual
	22	product manual that goes with the pistol that specifically
	23	has a warning with a picture that says, Do not drop your
	24	firearm, any firearm can discharge if dropped. All right.
02:28:57	25	So I think that assertion is just not plausible. It's

```
not -- it doesn't withstand -- you know, it doesn't
        1
           withstand the particularity requirement with respect to
        2
        3
           the --
                     THE COURT: Well, it's particular.
        4
        5
                    MR. JOYCE: Well, it's not plausible.
02:29:13
                     THE COURT: It's not what?
        6
        7
                    MR. JOYCE: It's not plausible. It has got to be
        8
           particular but it also has to be --
        9
                     THE COURT: Would it be plausible if the manual
           statement wasn't there?
       10
02:29:21
       11
                    MR. JOYCE: I think that's a better -- that's a
       12
           closer -- that's a closer call and maybe --
       13
                     THE COURT: When was the manual provided? Before
           or after the weapon was purchased?
       14
       15
                    MR. JOYCE: It's provided with the purchase of
02:29:32
       16
           every firearm. Every firearm there is a warning manual --
       17
                     THE COURT: At the time of the purchase.
       18
                    MR. JOYCE: -- or instruction manual at the time
       19
           of purchase, right.
       20
                     THE COURT: All right.
02:29:41
       21
                    MR. JOYCE: And the warning manual is also on the
       22
           internet. It's available that way, also. But it's
       23
           physically provided.
       24
                     THE COURT: So it's on the same website that the
       25
           statements would have been included?
02:29:49
```

	1	MR. JOYCE: Yes. Yes.
	2	THE COURT: All right. Let me switch to a
	3	slightly different aspect of the question. Did all of
	4	this, the "Safety Without Compromise" marketing campaign
02:30:06	5	that was it largely a website campaign?
	6	MR. JOYCE: There may have been I can't say
	7	that there wouldn't be any point of sale, you know,
	8	brochure or any information like that. It's also
	9	undetermined at this point whether that information was
02:30:22	10	even on the website in 2014.
	11	THE COURT: Well, that's my question. Do you
	12	know when it went on the website? Does anybody?
	13	MR. JOYCE: We don't know that information.
	14	MR. MARCHESE: I would need discovery on that,
02:30:32	15	Your Honor. They would know better than I.
	16	THE COURT: So your client says it was on the
	17	website at the time he made his purchase in 2014?
	18	MR. MARCHESE: That's what he told me that he
	19	recalls.
02:30:38	20	THE COURT: Which was the first year that the
	21	weapon was marketed?
	22	MR. JOYCE: Right. That's not in the pleading
	23	though, Your Honor. The pleading doesn't say the
	24	plaintiff reviewed the website and he made he noticed
02:30:48	25	that on the website and he made his

	1	THE COURT: No. It says the website and a bunch
	2	of materials. Sort of a
	3	MR. MARCHESE: But it says he reviewed that claim
	4	and remembers it prior to making his purchase. That is
02:30:58	5	what is important.
	6	THE COURT: Well, it says he looked at marketing
	7	materials and documents accompanying the P320 before
	8	making his purchase that would have included the manual.
	9	MR. MARCHESE: Well, I don't know. First of all,
02:31:12	10	every gun, Your Honor, could could misfire when
	11	dropped. The issue is that this gun has a design that
	12	is
	13	THE COURT: Had a tendency.
	14	MR. MARCHESE: has a design defect
02:31:25	15	THE COURT: I understand.
	16	MR. MARCHESE: that is unduly prone.
	17	THE COURT: It was more likely to than other
	18	weapons. Is that a fair statement?
	19	MR. JOYCE: Well, Your Honor. This firearm met
02:31:33	20	every U.S. safety standard.
	21	THE COURT: No. No. That's not the issue.
	22	Their theory of liability is that it was more likely to
	23	fire when dropped than other weapons. Any weapon can but
	24	this one was more likely; is that right?
02:31:47	25	MR. MARCHESE: Yes. And you don't have to take

```
our word for that. The Army said that, too.
         1
         2
                     MR. JOYCE: Well, Your Honor, it had a
         3
           vulnerability at a particular angle that was not tested
         4
           for under that existing U.S. safety standards.
         5
                     THE COURT: We're getting outside the pleadings
02:31:58
         6
           here.
         7
                     MR. JOYCE: Well, that actually is in the
         8
           pleading.
         9
                     THE COURT: Which part?
       10
                     MR. DWERLKOTTE: Paragraph 48 and 49.
02:32:04
       11
                     THE COURT: That their internal testing revealed
       12
           the --
                    MR. JOYCE: 48 and 49.
       13
       14
                     THE COURT: All right.
       15
                    MR. JOYCE: And then the elephant in the room, of
02:32:09
       16
           course, here, Your Honor, is that there was a safety
       17
           upgrade made available to this plaintiff and every other
       18
           purchaser --
       19
                     THE COURT: Well, I know. The voluntary upgrade
       20
           program.
02:32:19
                     MR. JOYCE: -- that addresses that.
       21
                     THE COURT: All right. So before we get to that,
       22
       23
           let me ask one other question about the pre or at purchase
       24
           representations. To the extent reliance is required for
       25
           the claims that rest on these promises that you have
02:32:36
```

	1	identified or representations and warranties that you have
	2	identified, can you address the concerns raised about
	3	whether when individual reliance is an issue,
	4	certification of a (b)3 class can be proper?
02:33:02	5	MR. MARCHESE: I can address that, Your Honor;
	6	but really, it's hard for me to address that right now
	7	without discovery because I need to know in discovery how
	8	pervasive these drop performance claims were on the
	9	marketing material.
02:33:20	10	THE COURT: That doesn't go to the question of
	11	reliance on the statements made at the time of the
	12	purchase. That's the issue.
	13	MR. MARCHESE: So, you know, once we get down the
	14	line, Your Honor, we are going to make a class
02:33:39	15	certification motion and there is going to be an
	16	opposition and so on and so forth. Right now we have a
	17	nationwide claim and we have got warranty claims and some
	18	states do have reliance.
	19	THE COURT: What about the common law fraud and
02:33:53	20	Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act claims that are based
	21	on false and misleading statements? They require
	22	individual reliance.
	23	MR. MARCHESE: I believe that
	24	THE COURT: Fraud does.
02:34:11	25	MR. MARCHESE: I believe that the drop

	1	performance claims were, basically, so material and so
	2	pervasive that some level of reliance could be presumed;
	3	but again, I would need discovery on this at the nascent
	4	stage of this litigation.
02:34:29	5	THE COURT: What is a case that says that
	6	discovery can be presumed because some that reliance
	7	can be presumed because something happens relatively
	8	frequently? There is a presumption of there is a
	9	presumption that can be applied in some securities cases
02:34:50	10	of reliance, based on the market; but I'm not aware of it
	11	in this kind of case. Cite me a case that does that.
	12	MR. MARCHESE: Sure. Ebin v. Kangadis.
	13	THE COURT: Sorry?
	14	MR. MARCHESE: Ebin v. Kangadis. It's a consumer
02:35:03	15	class action.
	16	THE COURT: Okay. We'll take a look.
	17	MR. MARCHESE: And also Hart v I can't
	18	remember the name of the defendant but it is, basically,
	19	another consumer class action case in the Southern
02:35:12	20	District of New York. Judge
	21	THE COURT: No. No. Texas. Start with the
	22	Fifth Circuit.
	23	MR. MARCHESE: Okay.
	24	THE COURT: What do you do with the Fifth
02:35:18	25	Circuit? Not Second Circuit.

	1	MR. MARCHESE: So I would give
	2	THE COURT: Are you aware of any Fifth Circuit
	3	case?
	4	MR. MARCHESE: Not standing here at the moment,
02:35:27	5	Your Honor.
	6	THE COURT: All right. Are you aware of a
	7	case what is your best case that says if individual
	8	reliance is an element of the claim, certification of a
	9	class is improper for fraud claims, DTPA claims?
02:35:41	10	MR. DWERLKOTTE: Absolutely, Your Honor. What we
	11	did in our brief is I think we spelled out in all the
	12	jurisdictions whether reliance is required for
	13	warranty-type claims. We did that for every single state.
	14	So I don't think that any amount of discovery is going to
02:35:55	15	change that.
	16	You have got Texas is one of the states it's
	17	Exhibit 1 or Exhibit A that talks about reliance and
	18	that it's required. That's Omni USA v. Parker, 964
	19	F. Supp. 2d 805. We have also outlined unjust enrichment
02:36:14	20	elements in each state as well as fraudulent concealment
	21	in each state, Your Honor. I think that that
	22	THE COURT: That raises two questions.
	23	MR. DWERLKOTTE: Sure.
	24	THE COURT: One is variations among the various
02:36:25	25	states, and the second is individual reliance as an

	1	element of a claim that may be so frequent that it raises
	2	a concern unrelated to variability.
	3	MR. DWERLKOTTE: Are we talking about the
	4	presumption? Is that what you are
02:36:47	5	THE COURT: Yes.
	6	MR. DWERLKOTTE: So I think the only the only
	7	state that follows a presumption would be California, that
	8	there is a presumption that if a particular statement is
	9	in fact false in its material to a certain amount of
02:37:00	10	consumers that certain courts in California have allowed
	11	what they would call I would call it more of a not a
	12	presumption but a I just blanked on the word.
	13	Essentially a presumption, Your Honor, but only in
	14	California, not in Texas.
02:37:18	15	THE COURT: And what is your best Texas case that
	16	says that if reliance is an issue that class treatment is
	17	inappropriate?
	18	MR. DWERLKOTTE: I would cite the Cole case, the
	19	Rosa case, as well as Castano, Your Honor.
02:37:34	20	THE COURT: Do you want to and the Cole case
	21	raises one other issue, and that is the standing issue
	22	that you have challenged. But it seems to go against you
	23	on the standing issue. How is it do you distinguish it
	24	on that basis?
02:37:55	25	MR. DWERLKOTTE: I do, Your Honor. I think in
		Laura Wells, CRR, RDR

Cole I think that the product had actually manifested the 1 defect that the plaintiff claimed in that case. 2 3 in this case our position is that Mr. Gordon's pistol has not manifested the defect, i.e. the drop fire. 4 5 And I think, just to add on to that, Your Honor, I 02:38:18 think the Inman case -- it's a Texas Supreme Court case --6 7 lays out kind of the distinction between Cole and the 8 situation that we're talking about here where in Cole the event actually happened but the defect was present either 9 at the time of sale or it actually occurred. 10 02:38:36 11 Whereas here in the cases that we have cited, like 12 Everett, those cases are clear that if an event has to 13 occur and it could occur in the future, i.e. the drop 14 event here, that those -- those type of allegations are insufficient for standing, Your Honor. 15 02:38:51 16 THE COURT: Did you want to respond? 17 MR. MARCHESE: Well, I would just say that right 18 now we are at the pleading stage, and I would certainly 19 love the opportunity to brief all of these issues at the 20 class certification stage with respect to -- and provide 02:39:02 21 the Court with a survey of different state laws and all of 22 the elements and, you know, there are courts that certify 23 fraud cases on a multi-state basis all the time. THE COURT: Well, SIG Sauer has already done an 24 25 analysis laying out variations among the various state 02:39:22

	1	laws. Is there any reason that precludes you from doing
	2	that as well?
	3	MR. MARCHESE: There is nothing that precludes me
	4	from doing it. I'm not sure we have done that yet. But
02:39:41	5	generally speaking, that's something that we would handle
	6	at the class certification stage after getting some
	7	discovery that might bear on some of these issues. With
	8	respect to whether there is
	9	THE COURT: Why would discovery bear on the
02:39:55	10	variability of state law? That's something that doesn't,
	11	it seems to me, depend on discovery into factual grounds.
	12	MR. MARCHESE: That is a legal issue, Your Honor.
	13	THE COURT: That's right.
	14	MR. MARCHESE: But I think to some extent there
02:40:10	15	is a mixed question of law and fact, depending on what we
	16	would find out about these drop performance claims in
	17	connection with the safety marketing campaign that SIG
	18	did.
	19	With respect to whether a defect has manifested
02:40:29	20	itself, we would say that this is a design defect case.
	21	And so there is an inherent defect in each unit of that
	22	pistol as it was originally designed.
	23	And we have also made allegations about how there are
	24	a number of users of the P320 who were injured and shot
02:40:56	25	because they dropped their weapon and they were subject to

a drop-fire incident. 1 2 So this is not a situation like in the Everett case 3 which related to a manufacturing defect with some seatbelts that never, you know, malfunctioned. This is a 4 case where there is a present defect in every one of the 5 02:41:18 units of the P320 coming off of the factory line, and 6 7 that's exactly what the court in Missouri in the Hartley 8 case said. They said that an allegation of a design 9 defect is not a potential problem. It is something that is manifest in the product. 10 02:41:44 11 MR. JOYCE: Well, Your Honor, Mr. Hartley's qun 12 actually allegedly fired out-of-battery. We don't agree with his assertion, but that allegation was made. 13 Secondly, in Hartley, the plaintiffs contend that the 14 15 upgrade program, which in fact was never intended to 02:42:04 16 address an alleged out-of-battery problem, which we don't 17 even think exists, but that is in that case. The 18 plaintiffs allege --19 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Okay. MR. JOYCE: -- that there is no fix available for 20 02:42:17 21 the out-of-battery problem that they allege. 22 In contrast, in this case, plaintiffs concede that the 23 voluntary upgrade program that is available free of charge 24 to the plaintiff and everyone else that has a 320 does 25 address the concern. 02:42:35

	1	THE COURT: Before we get to that, what is your				
	2	best case or cases that when there are that the				
	3	analysis of state law and whether subclassing will				
	4	overcome any problems with variability or variations				
02:42:58	5	across the states or not, what is your best case that says				
	6	that that is appropriately handled at the motion to				
	7	dismiss side stage as opposed to waiting for discovery				
	8	or certification motions?				
	9	MR. DWERLKOTTE: Sure, Your Honor. That would be				
02:43:16	10	the Rosa case again. That was a motion to dismiss. That				
	11	was at the motion to dismiss stage. I think that's right				
	12	on track here, Your Honor.				
	13	THE COURT: All right. And what is your best				
	case for saying you should wait until the class					
02:43:30	02:43:30 15 certification stage?					
	16	MR. MARCHESE: We have a Fifth Circuit we				
	17	cited a lot of authority on this. We cited a Fifth				
	18	Circuit case.				
THE COURT: Which is what?  MR. MARCHESE: Give me a minute, Your I		THE COURT: Which is what?				
		MR. MARCHESE: Give me a minute, Your Honor. I'm				
	21	sorry.				
	22	(Sotto voce discussion between counsel.)				
	23	MR. MARCHESE: So it's, right, Cole v. General				
	24	Motors Corp., 484 F.3d 717, Fifth Circuit.				
02:44:12	25	THE COURT: Okay. I have that. All right.				
		Laura Wells, CRR, RDR				

	1	Thank you.			
	2	MR. DWERLKOTTE: Your Honor, could I address that			
	3	one real fast?			
	4	THE COURT: I'm sorry?			
02:44:16	5	MR. DWERLKOTTE: If I could address that one real			
	6 fast.				
	7	THE COURT: Go ahead.			
	8	MR. DWERLKOTTE: I was going to address that one.			
	9	In that case, Your Honor, we would differentiate that one			
02:44:25	10	because it wasn't raised at the motion to dismiss stage.			
11 It was, in fact, at the class certification where t					
challenged. And the Court there denied class					
	13	certification on a nationwide basis. We think that			
	14	actually goes in our favor.			
02:44:41	15	THE COURT: All right. The last set of questions			
16 that I have is the impact of the voluntary upgrade					
program, the argument over whether that undermines,					
	18	the availability of that fix wholly undermines the ability			
		of the plaintiff to claim any kind of design defect.			
		Hotly disputed. What is your best case for your argument?			
	21	And then, I'll hear from the plaintiff.			
	22	MR. DWERLKOTTE: What is our best case on			
	23	standing, Your Honor?			
	24	THE COURT: Well, on the question of whether			
02:45:27	25	yes, on the question of whether the recall fixes			

	1	everything, undermines the claim. I think you rely on			
	2	Cole. Any other cases?			
	3	MR. DWERLKOTTE: We cite <i>Everett</i> . We think			
	4	Everett and those line of cases are on all fours here. I			
02:45:46	think it's slightly nuanced here, Your Honor,				
	6	think some courts have have looked at and allowed a			
	7	plaintiff to proceed on an injury theory that they have			
	8	stopped using a product for fear that a defect may			
	9	manifest.			
02:46:02	10	Here this case falls in one of those small niches			
	11	where			
	12	THE COURT: Well, that's what he does allege.			
	13	MR. DWERLKOTTE: I'm sorry?			
	14	THE COURT: That's what he does allege.			
02:46:11	MR. DWERLKOTTE: That he after the first				
	16	complaint, he comes back later and says, yes, I have			
	17	stopped using it.			
	18	THE COURT: Right.			
	19	MR. DWERLKOTTE: That is what some courts have			
02:46:18 20 found that to be the case. For example, there i		found that to be the case. For example, there is a BPA			
	21	decision. I know it's Eighth Circuit, Your Honor, but I			
	22	think Judge Smith there does a really nice job of laying			
	23	out this analysis, which includes Fifth Circuit law. What			
	24	he says there is it would be ridiculous and absurd to say			
02:46:34	25	that we don't you would have to we wouldn't find			

standing if you haven't actually ingested poison, for example. That would be absurd.

But in a situation where you have a recall or especially one where -- sorry -- not a recall but an upgrade, one that is conceded to be appropriate and would make him whole, that that is really the linchpin here for why he doesn't have standing.

MR. JOYCE: Right. And the cases where there was an assertion that the Court found valid, at least on the motion to dismiss claim, that the plaintiff decided to stop using the product out of fear that an alleged defect made the product unsafe, in those situations there wasn't an available fix, what the plaintiffs call a fix.

And that's key here because the plaintiff here can return his gun to SIG. And just like under any garden variety warranty claim in any product for any product manufacturer, he can get his gun back in a week and a half and his — he can use the product and the product is now above and beyond any, you know, drop safety requirements and any abusive handling standards in the United States. And the plaintiff doesn't allege to the contrary.

If every standard variety warranty claim becomes the basis of a class action, Your Honor, where the plaintiffs' lawyers sweep in after the fact and take a fee for something that has already occurred, the courts are going

02:46:48

02:47:08 10

02:47:28 15

02:47:54 20

\_ \_

02:48:10 25

	1	to be overrun.				
	2	MR. DWERLKOTTE: Your Honor, I think one of the				
	3	cases that plaintiff cites actually illustrates this point				
	4	very nicely. It's the BMW case on Pages 8 or 9, I				
02:48:24	5	believe. It's Bang v. BMW. In that case the plaintiff				
	6	alleged a defect. And then there was a BMW offered a				
	7	repair but the plaintiffs claimed that that repair didn't				
	8	fix everything or that it was inadequate. And that's				
	9	exactly what we're talking about.				
02:48:41	10	THE COURT: All right. Anything else on these				
	11	points?				
	12	MR. MARCHESE: Yes, Your Honor. So, first of				
	13	all, the voluntary upgrade program, so-called, as it is,				
	14	takes four to six weeks to complete and sometimes more				
02:48:56	15	time than that.				
	16	MR. JOYCE: That's not true.				
	17	MR. MARCHESE: And during that time, SIG installs				
	18	a lighter trigger to address this drop-safety issue. But				
_		SIG never provided effective notice of that program to its				
		customers and it misrepresented				
	21	THE COURT: But your client doesn't deny that he				
	22	knew about it.				
	23	MR. MARCHESE: Excuse me?				
	24	THE COURT: Does your client deny that he knew				
02:49:18	25	about it?				

1 MR. MARCHESE: He does not deny that he knew about it. 2 3 THE COURT: In fact, he says he didn't want to because he didn't want to be without the use of it. 4 5 That's one harm he alleges. He doesn't explain why he 02:49:26 didn't want to avail himself of the opportunity. 6 7 MR. MARCHESE: He learned about the defect with 8 this gun in December of 2018, Your Honor. He learned 9 about it from a man in his church congregation. And he was outraged about the fact that there was this issue with 10 02:49:48 11 a -- a gun safety issue and he cares a lot about gun 12 safety and SIG had not -- SIG had an opportunity to reach 13 out to people who registered their guns with them. 14 had an opportunity to reach out to people who submitted 15 warranty cards to them. But SIG has not done any direct 02:50:14 notice of the voluntary upgrade program. 16 17 And then, they misrepresented the nature of the 18 program, sometimes expressly stating that the guns were 19 safe as originally designed and that the upgrade has 20 nothing to do with drop safety. And as a result, there 02:50:27 are hundreds of thousands --21 22 MR. JOYCE: That is just not correct. 23 MR. MARCHESE: Excuse me. And as a result, there are hundreds of thousands of these defective P320s still 2.4 25 out on the streets. 02:50:39

Now here, the plaintiff alleged economic injury that 1 2 confers standing. He paid for a gun that was represented 3 as drop safe, but he got a gun with a drop-fire defect. And that's quintessential economic harm suffered at the 4 5 point of purchase. 02:50:54 6 The plaintiff and the punitive class members can 7 pursue money damages because they received less than what 8 they bargained for at the time of purchase, and plaintiff 9 has alleged that he has been deprived of the use and enjoyment of his qun, which he stopped using due to safety 10 02:51:08 11 concerns. 12 And he is fully within his rights to bring these -- to bring these claims, Your Honor. And the voluntary upgrade 13 program cannot moot his claims because his claims include 14 remedies such as punitive damages or trebling of damages 15 02:51:26 16 that are simply not addressed by the voluntary upgrade 17 program. 18 And he also wants injunctive relief to get SIG to set 19 the record straight on what is really going on here, and 20 the voluntary upgrade program just does not do that. 02:51:45 21 So -- so I don't think the defendant can moot out the 22 plaintiff's claim with that upgrade program. It's just 23 too little too late. And so that would be my response. 24 THE COURT: Anything from the --25 MR. DWERLKOTTE: May I address a couple of those? 02:52:03

	1	MR. MARCHESE: I'm sorry. One other point. They					
	2	brought these arguments up in the Hartley case. That's					
	3	the other punitive class action about another type of					
	4	design defect with the P320, and the judge said this issue					
02:52:18	5	with the voluntary upgrade program is rife with factual					
	6	issues that are inappropriate to decide on a motion to					
	7	dismiss at the pleading stage and that's where we are,					
	8	Your Honor.					
	9	MR. JOYCE: Well, Your Honor, let me address					
02:52:28	10	that.					
	THE COURT: Is that a summary judgment motion?						
	12	MR. JOYCE: Your Honor					
	13	MR. DWERLKOTTE: A motion to dismiss.					
	14						
02:52:35	15						
	16 with the voluntary upgrade. The voluntary upgrade had						
	17	7 nothing to do with					
	18	8 THE COURT: Was there a voluntary upgrade in					
	19 Hartley?						
02:52:41	MR. JOYCE: Not that relates to the defect						
21 they are alleging in <i>Hartley</i> . That has nothing to							
	22	it, no.					
	23	THE COURT: Wait. I'm confused. What was					
	24	the voluntary recall related to in that case?					
02:52:50	25	MR. JOYCE: It wasn't. The voluntary in					
		Laura Wells, CRR, RDR					

	1	Hartley they are alleging that the P320 can fire			
	2	out-of-battery, and SIG denies that that's the case.			
	3	That's what the class action is there.			
	4	THE COURT: Was there a voluntary			
02:53:03	5	MR. JOYCE: SIG instituted a voluntary upgrade to			
	6	deal with the drop-fire issue not to			
	7	THE COURT: Did they okay. Was there a			
	8	voluntary upgrade for the issue that was brought up in			
	9	Hartley?			
02:53:15	10	MR. JOYCE: No.			
	11	THE COURT: Okay.			
	12	MR. DWERLKOTTE: No.			
	13	MR. JOYCE: It's the same gun. So the same guns			
	14	or the same firearms are the subject of that.			
02:53:19	15	MR. MARCHESE: I disagree.			
16 THE COURT: I'll look at the case.					
MR. MARCHESE: I disagree. There is a med					
	18 disconnector added to the weapon which addresses this				
	19 THE COURT: Both?				
02:53:28	20	MR. MARCHESE: which addresses this potential			
	21 out-of-battery design defect.				
	22	THE COURT: As well as the drop fire?			
	23	MR. JOYCE: That is not why the mechanical			
	24	disconnector was added to the P320. The mechanical			
02:53:39	25	disconnector was not added to the P320 to address an			

out-of-battery issue. There is no out-of-battery issue. 1 2 The mechanical disconnector was not added to address a 3 concern that the firearm could fire out-of-battery. mechanical disconnector was added as a component to 4 5 address the drop-fire issue. That's why -- that's why 02:53:55 that was added. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'll look at the 8 Hartley case and see what it says. Thank you. 9 MR. MARCHESE: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Anything else that would be helpful? 02:54:05 11 MR. DWERLKOTTE: A couple of more points on what 12 counsel raised, Your Honor. A lot of those facts that we just heard from counsel are not actually in the pleadings 13 14 anywhere. This December 2018 event, that's not anywhere 15 in the complaint, Your Honor. The piece about notice and 02:54:18 16 how we're supposed to provide notice and all those things, 17 that is not required by any law that we're aware of. 18 In fact, that would be akin to post-sale duty, which I 19 think is pretty clear under Texas that there is no 20 post-sale duty to warn, recall, retrofit, anything of that 02:54:37 21 nature. So those allegations, I think, are without merit, 22 Your Honor. And then as to the -- this standing piece of this 23 24 inherent defect, I think they are trying to lump in this 25 case with kind of the false advertising cases on which 02:54:51

```
they primarily rely. And those cases are clear at the
         1
         2
           point of sale that there is an injury. There is a
         3
           uniformly false statement that induced a plaintiff to
           purchase a product.
         4
         5
                Here the alleged defect may or may not happen.
02:55:05
           contingent on something happening in the future, dropping
         6
         7
           it numerous times potentially and at certain angles.
         8
           that it's -- you can't put this case in the false
         9
           advertising-type cases, Your Honor.
                     THE COURT: Some of the cases talk about
       10
02:55:18
           inevitably manifesting.
       11
       12
                     MR. DWERLKOTTE: Absolutely. I think that that
       13
           is --
       14
                     THE COURT: What is the -- does "inevitably" mean
       15
           in every weapon, in every product, in every item this will
02:55:28
           happen or does it mean that in a certain number of cases
       16
       17
           it's likely to happen?
       18
                     MR. DWERLKOTTE: Are you asking --
       19
                     THE COURT: What does "inevitably manifest" mean
       20
           in the case law?
02:55:46
       21
                     MR. DWERLKOTTE: Well, I think there has to be a
       22
           clear allegation that it is inevitable, and it is not
       23
           here, Your Honor.
       24
                     THE COURT: Does that mean it is going to happen
       25
           in every single case at some point? What does it mean?
02:55:51
```

	1	MR. DWERLKOTTE: I'm not sure the exact answer to				
	2	that, Your Honor.				
	3					
		MR. JOYCE: But I think it has to happen in				
	, 11 5					
02:56:00						
	6					
	7	loaded firearm is				
	8	THE COURT: Everybody knows it happens.				
	9	MR. JOYCE: It can happen.				
02:56:08	10	THE COURT: Yes. That's really the point. It				
	can happen during ordinary use. It may not be ordinary					
	12 MR. JOYCE: I wouldn't agree it's ordinary u					
	13	but it can happen during ordinary use, Your Honor.				
	14	THE COURT: Right. That's a fair way to put it.				
02:56:21	15	All right. Anything else?				
MR. MARCHESE: No, Your Honor.						
	MR. DWERLKOTTE: No, Your Honor.					
18 THE COURT: Well, this has been very helps						
	19	I appreciate it. We'll try to get an opinion out as				
02:56:31	20	quickly as possible. Without prejudging anything, I think				
	21	that you will have some more work to do to make your				
	22	pleadings a little bit tighter, and we'll see if that can				
	23	address some of the issues that have been raised in the				
	24	motion to dismiss.				
02:56:52	25	MR. MARCHESE: Thank you, Judge.				

	1	MR. ALLEN: Thank you, Your Honor.			
	2	MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Your Honor.			
	3	MR. DWERLKOTTE: Thank you, Judge.			
	4	THE COURT: Thank you.			
02:57:00	5	(Proceedings concluded at 2:57 p.m.)			
	6	Date: August 27, 2019			
	7	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE			
	8	I, Laura Wells, certify that the foregoing is a			
9 correct transcript from the record of proceedings					
	10	above-entitled matter.			
	11				
	12	/s/ Laura Wells			
	13	Laura Wells, CRR, RMR			
	14				
	15				
	16				
	17				
	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				

1	7	25:18, 25:20	18:20
/s <sub>[1]</sub> - 29:12	713[1] - 1:14	advertising <sub>[2]</sub> - 26:25, 27:9	arguments [1] - 24:2
70 [i] 20.12	717 [1] - 17:24	advertising-type[1]-	Army [1] - 9:1
1	77002[2] - 1:14, 1:24	27:9	aspect <sub>[1]</sub> - 7:3
1 4.7.40.47	7 7 002 [2] - 1.14, 1.24	afternoon [3] - 2:4, 2:8,	assertion <sub>[4]</sub> - 5:16, 5:25, 16:13, 20:9
1 [3] - 1:7, 12:17	8	2:11	assisted <sub>[1]</sub> - 1:25
10019[1] - 1:10	0	agree [2] - 16:12, 28:12	AUGUST <sub>[1]</sub> - 1:5
10577 <sub>[1]</sub> - 1:21	8 <sub>[4]</sub> - 3:16, 4:12, 4:25, 21:4	ahead <sub>[1]</sub> - 18:7	August <sub>[1]</sub> - 29:6
1100[1] - 1:13	8004 [1] - 1:23	akin <sub>[1]</sub> - 26:18	authority [1] - 17:17
2	805 [1] - 12:19	allegation <sub>[4]</sub> - 2:25,	avail <sub>[1]</sub> - 22:6
	816 [1] - 1:18	16:8, 16:13, 27:22	availability[1] - 18:18
2014 [2] - 7:10, 7:17	837-7150 <sub>[1]</sub> - 1:11	allegations <sub>[5]</sub> - 3:4,	available <sub>[5]</sub> - 6:22,
2018 [2] - 22:8, 26:14	888 <sub>[1]</sub> - 1:10	4:25, 14:14, 15:23, 26:21	9:17, 16:20, 16:23,
2019 [2] - 1:5, 29:6	000 [i] = 1.10	allege [13] - 3:10, 3:11,	20:13
202 [1] - 1:20	9	3:12, 3:16, 3:25, 4:1,	Avenue [1] - 1:10
21 [1] - 1:5	0 04.4	5:1, 16:18, 16:21,	aware <sub>[4]</sub> - 11:10, 12:2,
2555 [1] - 1:17	9 <sub>[1]</sub> - 21:4	19:12, 19:14, 20:21 alleged <sub>[9]</sub> - 2:18, 2:22,	12:6, 26:17
27 [1] - 29:6	9(b <sub>[2]</sub> - 3:25, 4:11	3:24, 16:16, 20:11,	В
2:22 [1] - 1:4	914 <sub>[1]</sub> - 1:21	21:6, 23:1, 23:9, 27:5	
2:56 [1] - 1:4	964 [1] - 12:18	<b>allegedly</b> [1] - 16:12	b)3 <sub>[1]</sub> - 10:4
2:57 [1] - 29:5	Α	alleges <sub>[1]</sub> - 22:5	Bacon [1] - 1:16
2d [1] - 12:19		alleging <sub>[3]</sub> - 2:22,	Bang [1] - 21:5
3	ability <sub>[1]</sub> - 18:18	24:21, 25:1	bargained <sub>[1]</sub> - 23:8
ა	above-entitled[1] -	Allen <sub>[2]</sub> - 1:12, 2:6	based [3] - 2:18, 10:20,
320 [1] - 16:24	29:10 absolutely <sub>[2]</sub> - 12:10,	ALLEN <sub>[2]</sub> - 2:6, 29:1	11:10
3500 [1] - 1:13	27:12	<b>allowed</b> <sub>[2]</sub> - 13:10, 19:6	basis <sub>[4]</sub> - 13:24, 14:23,
	absurd <sub>[2]</sub> - 19:24, 20:2	amend [2] - 4:17, 5:11	18:13, 20:23 <b>battery</b> [8] - 16:12,
4	abusive <sub>[1]</sub> - 20:20	amended [1] - 3:16	16:16, 16:21, 25:2,
4 [1] - 1:20	accompanying[1] -	amount <sub>[2]</sub> - 12:14,	25:21, 26:1, 26:3
417-3419[1] - 1:21	8:7	13:9	bear <sub>[2]</sub> - 15:7, 15:9
474-6550 <sub>[1]</sub> - 1:18	Act [2] - 4:10, 10:20	analysis <sub>[3]</sub> - 14:25,	becomes <sub>[1]</sub> - 20:22
<b>48</b> <sub>[2]</sub> - 9:10, 9:13	action [6] - 2:21, 11:15,	17:3, 19:23	BEFORE [1] - 1:7
484 [1] - 17:24	11:19, 20:23, 24:3,	angle <sub>[1]</sub> - 9:3	begin [1] - 5:14
485-7200[1] - 1:14	25:3 <b>actual</b> [1] - 5:21	angles <sub>[1]</sub> - 27:7	best [8] - 3:7, 12:7,
<b>49</b> <sub>[2]</sub> - 9:10, 9:13	add <sub>[1]</sub> - 14:5	answer <sub>[1]</sub> - 28:1	13:15, 17:2, 17:5, 17:13, 18:20, 18:22
4:19-CV-00585 <sub>[1]</sub> -	added [6] - 25:18,	appearances[1] - 2:3	better <sub>[2]</sub> - 6:11, 7:15
1:3	25:24, 25:25, 26:2,	APPEARANCES[1]	between <sub>[2]</sub> - 14:7,
5	26:4, 26:6	- 1:8	17:22
อ	address <sub>[15]</sub> - 10:2,	applied <sub>[1]</sub> - 11:9	beyond [1] - 20:19
<b>515</b> <sub>[1]</sub> - 1:23	10:5, 10:6, 16:16,	apply <sub>[1]</sub> - 4:16	bit [1] - 28:22
	16:25, 18:2, 18:5, 18:8, 21:18, 23:25,	appreciate [1] - 28:19	blanked <sub>[1]</sub> - 13:12
6	24:9, 25:25, 26:2,	appropriate[1] - 20:5	BMW [3] - 21:4, 21:5,
64108-2613[1] - 1:17	26:5, 28:23	appropriately[1] - 17:6	21:6
646 [1] - 1:11	addressed [1] - 23:16	argument <sub>[2]</sub> - 18:17,	Boulevard <sub>[1]</sub> - 1:17
	addresses <sub>[3]</sub> - 9:21,	argamont <sub>[2]</sub> - 10.17,	BPA <sub>[1]</sub> - 19:20
	Laura Well	s, CRR, RDR	

Brandon<sub>[2]</sub> - 1:12, 2:6 Brent [2] - 1:16, 2:9 brief [2] - 12:11, 14:19 bring [2] - 23:12, 23:13 bringing [2] - 4:3, 4:6 brochure [1] - 7:8 brought<sub>[2]</sub> - 24:2, 25:8 bunch [1] - 8:1 Bursor [2] - 1:9, 2:5

## C

California [3] - 13:7, 13:10, 13:14 campaign [5] - 3:9, 5:15, 7:4, 7:5, 15:17 cannot [1] - 23:14 cards [1] - 22:15 cares [1] - 22:11 Case [43] - 2:17, 11:5, 11:11, 11:19, 12:3, 12:7, 13:15, 13:18, 13:19, 13:20, 14:2, 14:3, 14:6, 15:20, 16:2, 16:5, 16:8, 16:17, 16:22, 17:2, 17:5, 17:10, 17:14, 17:18. 18:9. 18:20. 18:22, 19:10, 19:20, 21:4, 21:5, 24:2, 24:24, 25:2, 25:16, 26:8, 26:25, 27:8, 27:20, 27:25 Cases [15] - 2:18, 11:9, 14:11, 14:12, 14:23, 17:2, 19:2, 19:4, 20:8, 21:3, 26:25, 27:1, 27:9, 27:10, 27:16 Castano [1] - 13:19 certain [5] - 3:5, 13:9, 13:10, 27:7, 27:16 certainly<sub>[1]</sub> - 14:18 CERTIFICATE [1] -29:7 certification [10] -2:16, 10:4, 10:15, 12:8, 14:20, 15:6, 17:8, 17:15, 18:11, 18:13 certify [2] - 14:22, 29:8 challenged [2] - 13:22, 18:12

change [1] - 12:15 charge<sub>[1]</sub> - 16:23 CHIEF [1] - 1:7 church [1] - 22:9 Circuit [9] - 11:22, 11:25, 12:2, 17:16, 17:18, 17:24, 19:21, 19:23 cite [3] - 11:11, 13:18, 19:3 cited [3] - 14:11, 17:17 cites [1] - 21:3 City [1] - 1:17 claim [12] - 2:15, 4:6, 8:3, 10:17, 12:8, 13:1, 18:19, 19:1, 20:10, 20:16, 20:22, 23:22 claimed [2] - 14:2, 21:7 claims [20] - 4:2, 4:4, 4:11, 4:14, 4:15, 5:3, 5:4, 5:6, 9:25, 10:8, 10:17, 10:20, 11:1, 12:9, 12:13, 15:16, 23:13, 23:14 **class** [16] - 2:21, 10:4, 10:14, 11:15, 11:19, 12:9, 13:16, 14:20, 15:6, 17:14, 18:11, 18:12, 20:23, 23:6, 24:3, 25:3 classes [1] - 2:16 clear<sub>[4]</sub> - 14:12, 26:19, 27:1, 27:22 clearer [1] - 4:17 client<sub>[3]</sub> - 7:16, 21:21, 21:24 **closer** [2] - 6:12 CO [1] - 2:7 **co-counsel**[1] - 2:7 Cole [7] - 13:18, 13:20, 14:1, 14:7, 14:8, 17:23, 19:2 coming [1] - 16:6 **common** [1] - 10:19 complaint [4] - 3:16, 5:1, 19:16, 26:15 complete [1] - 21:14 component [1] - 26:4 Compromise [3] -

computer [1] - 1:25 computer-assisted [1] - 1:25 concealment[1] -12:20 concede [1] - 16:22 conceded [1] - 20:5 concern 131 - 13:2. 16:25, 26:3 concerns [2] - 10:2, 23:11 **concluded** [1] - 29:5 confers [1] - 23:2 confused [1] - 24:23 congregation[1] -22:9 connect [1] - 3:8 connection[1] - 15:17 consumer [2] - 11:14, 11:19 **consumers** [1] - 13:10 contend [1] - 16:14 contingent [1] - 27:6 contrary [1] - 20:21 contrast [1] - 16:22 Corp [1] - 17:24 correct<sub>[2]</sub> - 22:22, 29:9 counsel<sub>[5]</sub> - 2:7, 3:3, 17:22, 26:12, 26:13 couple [2] - 23:25, 26:11 course [1] - 9:16 Court [5] - 1:22, 14:6, 14:21, 18:12, 20:9 court [1] - 16:7 COURT [97] - 1:1, 2:2, 2:10. 2:13. 2:23. 3:2. 3:19, 3:21, 3:24, 4:5, 4:8, 4:15, 4:23, 5:8, 5:13, 5:18, 6:4, 6:6, 6:9, 6:13, 6:17, 6:20, 6:24, 7:2, 7:11, 7:16, 7:20, 8:1, 8:6, 8:13, 8:15, 8:17, 8:21, 9:5, 9:9, 9:11, 9:14, 9:19, 9:22, 10:10, 10:19, 10:24, 11:5, 11:13, 11:16, 11:21, 11:24, 12:2, 12:6, 12:22, 12:24, 13:5, 13:15, 13:20, 14:16, 14:24,

15:9, 15:13, 16:19, 17:1, 17:13, 17:19, 17:25, 18:4, 18:7, 18:15, 18:24, 19:12, 19:14, 19:18, 21:10, 21:21, 21:24, 22:3, 23:24, 24:11, 24:18, 24:23, 25:4, 25:7, 25:11, 25:16, 25:19, 25:22, 26:7, 26:10, 27:10, 27:14, 27:19, 27:24, 28:5, 28:8, 28:10, 28:14, 28:18, 29:4, 29:7 courts [5] - 13:10, 14:22, 19:6, 19:19, 20:25 CRR<sub>[2]</sub> - 1:23, 29:13 customers [1] - 21:20

## D

damages [3] - 23:7, 23:15 Dante [1] - 2:2 **DANTE** [1] - 1:3 Date [1] - 29:6 David [1] - 1:16 deal<sub>[2]</sub> - 2:14, 25:6 December [2] - 22:8, 26:14 Deceptive [2] - 4:10, 10:20 decide [1] - 24:6 decided<sub>[1]</sub> - 20:10 decision [1] - 19:21 defect [23] - 2:19, 2:22, 8:14, 14:2, 14:4, 14:9, 15:19, 15:20, 15:21, 16:3, 16:5, 16:9, 18:19, 19:8, 20:11, 21:6, 22:7, 23:3, 24:4, 24:20, 25:21, 26:24, 27:5 defective [1] - 22:24 DEFENDANT<sub>[1]</sub> -1:15 defendant [4] - 2:9, 2:12, 11:18, 23:21 denied [1] - 18:12 denies [1] - 25:2 deny [3] - 21:21, 21:24, 22:1

3:9, 5:14, 7:4

deprived [1] - 23:9 design<sub>[7]</sub> - 8:11, 8:14, 15:20, 16:8, 18:19, 24:4, 25:21 designed [2] - 15:22, 22.19 different [3] - 2:22, 7:3, 14:21 differentiate [1] - 18:9 direct [1] - 22:15 disagree [3] - 4:13, 25:15, 25:17 discharge [2] - 5:20, 5:24 disclosure [1] - 4:1 disconnector<sub>[5]</sub> -25:18, 25:24, 25:25, 26:2, 26:4 discovery [10] - 7:14, 10:7, 11:3, 11:6, 12:14, 15:7, 15:9, 15:11, 17:7 discussion[1] - 17:22 dismiss [9] - 17:7, 17:10, 17:11, 18:10, 20:10, 24:7, 24:13, 24:14, 28:24 dispositive[1] - 3:1 disputed [1] - 18:20 distinction [1] - 14:7 distinguish [1] - 13:23 DISTRICT [2] - 1:1, 1:1 District [1] - 11:20 DIVISION [1] - 1:2 documents [1] - 8:7 done [3] - 14:24, 15:4, 22:15 down<sub>[1]</sub> - 10:13 drop [18] - 3:18, 5:3, 5:18, 5:23, 10:8, 10:25, 14:4, 14:13, 15:16, 16:1, 20:19, 21:18, 22:20, 23:3, 25:6, 25:22, 26:5 drop-fire [4] - 16:1, 23:3, 25:6, 26:5 drop-safe [1] - 5:3 drop-safety [1] - 21:18 dropped [4] - 5:24,

8:11, 8:23, 15:25 dropping [3] - 27:6, 28:5. 28:6 DTPA [1] - 12:9 due [1] - 23:10 during [3] - 21:17, 28:11, 28:13 duty [2] - 26:18, 26:20 Dwerlkotte<sub>[2]</sub> - 1:16, DWERLKOTTE [28] - 2:8, 9:10, 12:10,

12:23, 13:3, 13:6, 13:18, 13:25, 17:9, 18:2, 18:5, 18:8, 18:22, 19:3, 19:13, 19:15, 19:19, 21:2, 23:25, 24:13, 25:12, 26:11, 27:12, 27:18, 27:21, 28:1, 28:17, 29:3

# Ε

Ebin<sub>[2]</sub> - 11:12, 11:14 economic<sub>[2]</sub> - 23:1, 23:4 effective [1] - 21:19 Eighth [1] - 19:21 either [1] - 14:9 element [2] - 12:8, 13:1 elements [2] - 12:20, 14:22 **elephant**[1] - 9:15 enjoyment [1] - 23:10 enrichment<sub>[2]</sub> - 4:7, 12:19 entitled [1] - 29:10 especially<sub>[1]</sub> - 20:4 **essentially**[1] - 13:13 event<sub>[4]</sub> - 14:9, 14:12, 14:14. 26:14 Everett [4] - 14:12, 16:2, 19:3, 19:4 exact[1] - 28:1 exactly [2] - 16:7, 21:9 example [2] - 19:20, 20:2 excuse [2] - 21:23. 22.23

Exhibit [2] - 12:17

existing[1] - 9:4 exists [1] - 16:17 **explain**[1] - 22:5 expressly<sub>[1]</sub> - 22:18 extent[2] - 9:24, 15:14

## F

F.3d [1] - 17:24

**fact** [8] - 13:9, 15:15,

16:15, 18:11, 20:24, 22:3, 22:10, 26:18 factory [1] - 16:6 facts [1] - 26:12 factual [2] - 15:11, 24:5 fair [2] - 8:18, 28:14 falls [1] - 19:10 false [5] - 10:21, 13:9, 26:25, 27:3, 27:8 **fast** [2] - 18:3, 18:6 favor [1] - 18:14 fear [2] - 19:8, 20:11 fee [1] - 20:24 Fifth [7] - 11:22, 11:24, 12:2, 17:16, 17:17, 17:24, 19:23 **filed** [1] - 2:19 fire [9] - 8:23, 14:4, 16:1, 23:3. 25:1. 25:6. 25:22, 26:3, 26:5 firearm [8] - 5:19, 5:24, 6:16, 8:19, 26:3, 28:7 firearms [1] - 25:14 fired [1] - 16:12 **first** [5] - 3:16, 7:20, 8:9, 19:15, 21:12 first-amended [1] -3:16 Fisher [2] - 1:9, 2:5 fix [5] - 16:20, 18:18, 20:13, 21:8 fixes [1] - 18:25 focusing [1] - 3:3 follows [1] - 13:7 FOR [2] - 1:8, 1:15 foregoing [1] - 29:8 forth [1] - 10:16

fours [1] - 19:4 fraud [6] - 4:8, 4:9, 10:19, 10:24, 12:9, 14:23 fraudulent [1] - 12:20 free [1] - 16:23 frequent [1] - 13:1 frequently [1] - 11:8 Frizzell<sub>[2]</sub> - 1:12, 2:6 fully [1] - 23:12 future [2] - 14:13, 27:6

# G

garden [1] - 20:15 General [1] - 17:23 generally [1] - 15:5 GORDON [1] - 1:3 Gordon [2] - 2:2, 3:4 Gordon's 111 - 14:3 Grand [1] - 1:17 grounds [1] - 15:11 qun [14] - 3:17, 5:5, 8:10, 8:11, 16:11, 20:15, 20:17, 22:8, 22:11, 23:2, 23:3, 23:10, 25:13 guns [3] - 22:13, 22:18,

# Н

25:13

half<sub>[1]</sub> - 20:17 handle [1] - 15:5 handled [1] - 17:6 handling [1] - 20:20 hard [1] - 10:6 Hardy [1] - 1:16 harm [2] - 22:5, 23:4 Hart [1] - 11:17 Hartley [9] - 16:7, 16:14. 24:2. 24:15. 24:19, 24:21, 25:1, 25:9, 26:8 hartley's [1] - 16:11 hear [1] - 18:21 heard [1] - 26:13 HEARING [1] - 1:6 heightened[3] - 4:1,

Laura Wells, CRR, RDR

four [1] - 21:14

4:14, 4:16 helpful<sub>[2]</sub> - 26:10, 28:18 himself [1] - 22:6 Honor<sub>[57]</sub> - 2:4, 2:8, 2:11, 2:20, 3:15, 4:4, 4:11, 4:22, 5:11, 5:16, 7:15, 7:23, 8:10, 8:19, 9:2, 9:16, 10:5, 10:14, 12:5, 12:10, 12:21, 13:13, 13:19, 13:25, 14:5, 14:15, 15:12, 16:11, 17:9, 17:12, 17:20, 18:2, 18:9, 18:23, 19:5, 19:21, 20:23, 21:2, 21:12, 22:8, 23:13, 24:8, 24:9, 24:12, 24:15, 26:12, 26:15, 26:22, 27:9, 27:23, 28:2, 28:4, 28:13, 28:16, 28:17, 29:1, 29:2 HONORABLE [1] -1:7 hotly [1] - 18:20 HOUSTON<sub>[1]</sub> - 1:2 Houston [2] - 1:14, 1:24 hundreds [2] - 22:21, 22:24

i.e<sub>[2]</sub> - 14:4, 14:13 identified [2] - 10:1, 10:2 ignored [1] - 5:21 illustrates [1] - 21:3 impact [1] - 18:16 important [1] - 8:5 importantly [1] - 2:16 improper [1] - 12:9 inadequate<sub>[1]</sub> - 21:8 inappropriate [2] -13:17, 24:6 INC [1] - 1:5 incident[1] - 16:1 include [1] - 23:14 included [2] - 6:25, 8:8 includes [1] - 19:23 indicated [1] - 2:20 individual<sub>[4]</sub> - 10:3,

10:22, 12:7, 12:25 induced[1] - 27:3 inevitable<sub>[1]</sub> - 27:22 inevitably [3] - 27:11, 27:14, 27:19 information [3] - 7:8, 7:9, 7:13 ingested [1] - 20:1 inherent<sub>[2]</sub> - 15:21, 26:24 injunctive [1] - 23:18 injured [1] - 15:24 injury [3] - 19:7, 23:1, Inman [1] - 14:6 installs [1] - 21:17 instituted [1] - 25:5 instruction [1] - 6:18 insufficient [3] - 5:8, 5:12. 14:15 intended [1] - 16:15 interesting [2] - 2:14, internal [1] - 9:11 internet [1] - 6:22 issue [18] - 8:11, 8:21, 10:3, 10:12, 13:16, 13:21, 13:23, 15:12, 21:18, 22:10, 22:11, 24:4, 25:6, 25:8, 26:1, 26:5 issues<sub>[6]</sub> - 2:14, 14:19, 15:7, 24:6, 28:23 item [1] - 27:15 itself<sub>[1]</sub> - 15:20

job<sub>[1]</sub> - 19:22 Joseph<sub>[2]</sub> - 1:9, 2:5 Joyce [2] - 1:19, 2:12 JOYCE [40] - 2:11, 2:20, 2:24, 5:16, 5:19, 6:5, 6:7, 6:11, 6:15, 6:18, 6:21, 7:1, 7:6, 7:13, 7:22, 8:19, 9:2, 9:7, 9:13, 9:15, 9:21, 16:11, 16:20, 20:8, 21:16, 22:22, 24:9, 24:12, 24:15, 24:20, 24:25, 25:5, 25:10,

25:13, 25:23, 28:3, 28:6, 28:9, 28:12, 29:2 Judge [4] - 11:20, 19:22, 28:25, 29:3 judge [1] - 24:4 judgment [1] - 24:11 jurisdictions[1] -12:12 JUSTICE [1] - 1:7

## K

Kangadis [2] - 11:12, 11:14 Kansas<sub>[1]</sub> - 1:17 key [1] - 20:14 kind [4] - 11:11, 14:7, 18:19, 26:25 known [1] - 5:6 knows [1] - 28:8

#### L

Laura [4] - 1:23, 29:8,

29:12, 29:13

Laurent [1] - 1:19

law<sub>[7]</sub> - 10:19, 15:10,

15:15, 17:3, 19:23,

largely [1] - 7:5

last [1] - 18:15

late [1] - 23:23

26:17, 27:20 laws<sub>[2]</sub> - 14:21, 15:1 lawyers[1] - 20:24 laying [2] - 14:25, 19:22 lays [1] - 14:7 learned [2] - 22:7, 22:8 least [1] - 20:9 leave [1] - 5:11 LEE [1] - 1:7 legal<sub>[1]</sub> - 15:12 less<sub>[1]</sub> - 23:7 level<sub>[1]</sub> - 11:2 liability [1] - 8:22 lighter [1] - 21:18 likely [4] - 8:17, 8:22, 8:24, 27:17 linchpin<sub>[1]</sub> - 20:6 line [3] - 10:14, 16:6,

19:4 litigation [1] - 11:4 Littleton [2] - 1:19, 2:12 LLP<sub>[2]</sub> - 1:12, 1:16 loaded [1] - 28:7 look [3] - 11:16, 25:16, 26:7 looked [8] - 3:11, 3:13, 4:17, 4:18, 4:19, 8:6, 19:6 Louisiana [1] - 1:13 love [1] - 14:19 lump [1] - 26:24

## M

malfunctioned [1] -

16:4 man [1] - 22:9 Manhattanville<sub>[1]</sub> -1:20 manifest [3] - 16:10, 19:9, 27:19 manifested [3] - 14:1, 14:4, 15:19 manifesting [1] -27:11 manual [7] - 5:22, 6:9, 6:13, 6:16, 6:18, 6:21, 8:8 manufacturer [1] -20:17 manufacturing [1] -Marchese [2] - 1:9, 2:5 MARCHESE [48] -2:4, 3:15, 3:20, 3:22, 4:2, 4:6, 4:9, 4:21, 4:24, 5:10, 7:14, 7:18, 8:3, 8:9, 8:14, 8:16, 8:25, 10:5, 10:13, 10:23, 10:25, 11:12, 11:14, 11:17, 11:23, 12:1, 12:4, 14:17, 15:3, 15:12, 15:14, 17:16, 17:20, 17:23, 21:12, 21:17, 21:23, 22:1, 22:7, 22:23, 24:1, 24:14, 25:15, 25:17, 25:20, 26:9, 28:16, 28:25 market [1] - 11:10 marketed [1] - 7:21

marketing [7] - 3:9, 3:20, 3:21, 7:4, 8:6, 10:9. 15:17 material [3] - 10:9, 11:1, 13:9 materials [5] - 3:5, 3:20, 3:21, 8:2, 8:7 matter [1] - 29:10 mean [6] - 4:3, 27:14, 27:16, 27:19, 27:24, 27:25 mechanical [6] - 1:25, 25:17, 25:23, 25:24, 26:2, 26:4 meet [1] - 4:14 members [1] - 23:6 merit [1] - 26:21 met [1] - 8:19 might [1] - 15:7 minute [2] - 16:19, 17:20 misfire [1] - 8:10 misleading [1] - 10:21 misrepresented [2] -21:20, 22:17 Missouri [3] - 1:17, 2:21, 16:7 mixed [1] - 15:15 model [2] - 2:23, 2:24 moment [1] - 12:4 money [1] - 23:7 moot [2] - 23:14, 23:21 most [1] - 2:14 motion [12] - 3:1, 10:15, 17:6, 17:10, 17:11, 18:10, 20:10, 24:6, 24:11, 24:13, 24:14, 28:24 MOTIONS [1] - 1:6 motions [1] - 17:8 Motors [1] - 17:24 MR<sub>[118]</sub> - 2:4, 2:6, 2:8, 2:11, 2:20, 2:24, 3:15, 3:20, 3:22, 4:2, 4:6, 4:9, 4:21, 4:24, 5:10, 5:16, 5:19, 6:5, 6:7, 6:11, 6:15, 6:18, 6:21, 7:1, 7:6, 7:13, 7:14, 7:18, 7:22, 8:3, 8:9, 8:14, 8:16, 8:19, 8:25, 9:2, 9:7, 9:10, 9:13,

9:15, 9:21, 10:5, 10:13, 10:23, 10:25, 11:12, 11:14, 11:17, 11:23, 12:1, 12:4, 12:10, 12:23, 13:3, 13:6, 13:18, 13:25, 14:17, 15:3, 15:12, 15:14, 16:11, 16:20, 17:9, 17:16, 17:20, 17:23, 18:2, 18:5, 18:8, 18:22, 19:3, 19:13, 19:15, 19:19, 20:8, 21:2, 21:12, 21:16, 21:17, 21:23, 22:1, 22:7, 22:22, 22:23, 23:25, 24:1, 24:9, 24:12, 24:13, 24:14. 24:15. 24:20. 24:25. 25:5. 25:10. 25:12, 25:13, 25:15, 25:17, 25:20, 25:23, 26:9, 26:11, 27:12, 27:18, 27:21, 28:1, 28:3, 28:6, 28:9, 28:12, 28:16, 28:17, 28:25, 29:1, 29:2, 29:3 multi [1] - 14:23

### Ν

multi-state [1] - 14:23

name [1] - 11:18 nascent<sub>[1]</sub> - 11:3 nationwide<sub>[2]</sub> - 10:17, nature [2] - 22:17, 26:21 need [4] - 3:24, 7:14, 10:7, 11:3 never<sub>[3]</sub> - 16:4, 16:15, 21:19 New [4] - 1:10, 1:21, 11:20 nice [1] - 19:22 nicely [1] - 21:4 niches<sub>[1]</sub> - 19:10 nothing [5] - 15:3, 22:20, 24:15, 24:17, 24:21 notice [4] - 21:19, 22:16, 26:15, 26:16 noticed [1] - 7:24 nuanced [1] - 19:5 number [2] - 15:24, 27:16

numerous [1] - 27:7 0 OCCUr<sub>[2]</sub> - 14:13 occurred<sub>[2]</sub> - 14:10, 20:25 OF [1] - 1:1 offered [1] - 21:6 Omni [1] - 12:18 ON [1] - 1:6 once [1] - 10:13 one [16] - 8:24, 9:23, 12:16, 12:24, 13:21, 16:5, 18:3, 18:5, 18:8, 18:9, 19:10, 20:4, 20:5, 21:2, 22:5, 24:1 opinion [1] - 28:19 opportunity [4] -14:19, 22:6, 22:12, 22:14 opposed [1] - 17:7 opposition[1] - 10:16 ordinary [7] - 28:4, 28:5, 28:6, 28:11, 28:12, 28:13 originally [2] - 15:22, 22:19

overcome [1] - 17:4 overrun<sub>[1]</sub> - 21:1 P.A<sub>[1]</sub> - 1:9 p.m<sub>[1]</sub> - 29:5 P.M<sub>[2]</sub> - 1:4 P320<sub>[7]</sub> - 8:7, 15:24, 16:6, 24:4, 25:1, 25:24, 25:25 P320s [1] - 22:24 Pages [1] - 21:4 paid [1] - 23:2 papers [1] - 2:21 Paragraph [3] - 3:16,

out-of-battery [8] -

outlined<sub>[1]</sub> - 12:19

outraged [1] - 22:10

outside [1] - 9:5

16:12, 16:16, 16:21,

25:2, 25:21, 26:1, 26:3

4:25, 9:10 Park [2] - 1:19, 2:12 Parker [1] - 12:18 part [1] - 9:9 particular [4] - 6:4, 6:8, 9:3, 13:8 particularity[1] - 6:2 pending [2] - 2:21, 3:1 people [2] - 22:13, 22:14 performance [3] -10:8, 11:1, 15:16 permissible [1] - 2:17 pervasive<sub>[2]</sub> - 10:8, physically[1] - 6:23 picture [1] - 5:23 piece [2] - 26:15, 26:23 pistol [3] - 5:22, 14:3, PLAINTIFF [1] - 1:8 plaintiff<sub>[22]</sub> - 2:5, 2:7. 3:3, 4:3, 5:2, 5:17, 7:24, 9:17, 14:2, 16:24, 18:19, 18:21, 19:7, 20:10, 20:14, 20:21, 21:3, 21:5, 23:1, 23:6, 23:8, 27:3 plaintiff's [1] - 23:22 plaintiffs [5] - 16:14, 16:18, 16:22, 20:13, plaintiffs' [1] - 20:23 plausible [4] - 5:25, 6:5, 6:7, 6:9 pleading [8] - 4:11, 4:12, 4:16, 7:22, 7:23, 9:8, 14:18, 24:7 pleadings [3] - 9:5, 26:13, 28:22 point<sub>[8]</sub> - 7:7, 7:9, 21:3, 23:5, 24:1, 27:2, 27:25, 28:10 points [2] - 21:11, 26:11 poison[1] - 20:1 position [1] - 14:3 possible [1] - 28:20 post<sub>[2]</sub> - 26:18, 26:20 post-sale<sub>[2]</sub> - 26:18, 26:20

potential [2] - 16:9, 25:20 potentially [1] - 27:7 Practices [3] - 4:10, 10:20 pre [1] - 9:23 precisely [2] - 4:17, 4:18 precludes [2] - 15:1, prejudging [1] - 28:20 present<sub>[2]</sub> - 14:9, 16:5 presumed [3] - 11:2, 11:6, 11:7 presumption [7] -11:8, 11:9, 13:4, 13:7, 13:8, 13:12, 13:13 **pretty** [1] - 26:19 primarily [1] - 27:1 problem 131 - 16:9. 16:16. 16:21 problems [1] - 17:4 proceed [1] - 19:7 Proceedings [2] -1:25. 29:5 proceedings[1] - 29:9 PROCEEDINGS m produced [1] - 1:25 product [12] - 5:22, 14:1, 16:10, 19:8, 20:11, 20:12, 20:16, 20:18, 27:4, 27:15 program [13] - 9:20, 16:15, 16:23, 18:17, 21:13, 21:19, 22:16, 22:18, 23:14, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5 **promises** [1] - 9:25 prone[1] - 8:16 proper [1] - 10:4 proposed [1] - 2:17 provide [2] - 14:20, 26:16 provided [4] - 6:13, 6:15, 6:23, 21:19 pull<sub>[1]</sub> - 5:20 punitive [3] - 23:6, 23:15, 24:3 Purchase [1] - 1:21

purchase [13] - 5:3, 5:4. 6:15. 6:17. 6:19. 7:17. 8:4. 8:8. 9:23. 10:12. 23:5. 23:8. 27:4 purchased [3] - 4:19, 5:5, 6:14 purchaser [1] - 9:18 pursue [1] - 23:7 put<sub>[2]</sub> - 27:8, 28:14

## Q

questions [3] - 3:3, 12:22, 18:15 quickly<sub>[1]</sub> - 28:20 quintessential[1] -23:4 quote [1] - 3:18 quote-unquote [1] -3:18

## R

raised [4] - 10:2, 18:10, 26:12, 28:23 raises [3] - 12:22, 13:1, reach [2] - 22:12, 22:14 real [2] - 18:3, 18:5 really [5] - 10:6, 19:22, 20:6, 23:19, 28:10 reason [1] - 15:1 received [1] - 23:7 record [2] - 23:19, 29:9 recorded [1] - 1:25 references [1] - 3:9 referring [1] - 5:21 registered [1] - 22:13 related [2] - 16:3, 24:24 relates [1] - 24:20 relationship<sub>[1]</sub> - 4:19 relatively [1] - 11:7 reliance [13] - 9:24, 10:3, 10:11, 10:18, 10:22, 11:2, 11:6, 11:10, 12:8, 12:12, 12:17, 12:25, 13:16 relied [2] - 5:4, 5:17 relief [1] - 23:18

rely [2] - 19:1, 27:1

remember [1] - 11:18 remembers [2] - 5:2, 8:4 repair<sub>[2]</sub> - 21:7 Reporter [1] - 1:22 REPORTER'S 111 representation[1] -3:19 representations [4] -3:6, 3:17, 9:24, 10:1 represented [1] - 23:2 require [2] - 4:15, 10:21 required [4] - 9:24. 12:12, 12:18, 26:17 requirement [2] - 4:1, 6:2 requirements[1] -20:19 respect<sub>[6]</sub> - 4:2, 4:13, 6:2, 14:20, 15:8, 15:19 respectfully [1] - 4:13 respond [1] - 14:16 response [1] - 23:23 rest [1] - 9:25 result [2] - 22:20, 22:23 retrofit [1] - 26:20 return [1] - 20:15 revealed [1] - 9:11 reviewed [3] - 3:8, 7:24, 8:3 reviewing [2] - 3:4, 5:2 Reynolds [2] - 1:12, 2:6 ridiculous [1] - 19:24 rife [1] - 24:5 rights [1] - 23:12 RMR<sub>[2]</sub> - 1:23, 29:13 Road [1] - 1:20 Rob [1] - 2:11 Robert [1] - 1:19 room [1] - 9:15

Rosa<sub>[2]</sub> - 13:19, 17:10

ROSENTHAL[1] -

RPR[1] - 1:23

remedies [1] - 23:15

Rule [1] - 4:12 Rusk [1] - 1:23

## S

safe [4] - 3:18, 5:3, 22:19, 23:3 Safety [3] - 3:8, 5:14, 7:4 **safety** [12] - 5:14, 5:18, 8:20, 9:4, 9:16, 15:17, 20:19, 21:18, 22:11, 22:12. 22:20. 23:10 sale [5] - 7:7, 14:10, 26:18, 26:20, 27:2 **SAUER** [1] - 1:5 Sauer<sub>[5]</sub> - 2:3, 2:9, 2:12, 5:13, 14:24 seatbelts [1] - 16:4 Second [1] - 11:25 second<sub>[1]</sub> - 12:25 secondly[1] - 16:14 securities [1] - 11:9 **See** [2] - 26:8, 28:22 set [2] - 18:15, 23:18 Seventh [1] - 1:10 Shook [1] - 1:16 shot[1] - 15:24 side [1] - 17:7 SIG [17] - 1:5, 2:2, 2:9, 2:12, 5:13, 14:24, 15:17, 20:15, 21:17, 21:19, 22:12, 22:13, 22:15, 23:18, 25:2, 25:5 simply [1] - 23:16 single [2] - 12:13, 27:25 situation [3] - 14:8, 16:2, 20:3 **situations** [1] - 20:12 SiX [1] - 21:14 slightly [2] - 7:3, 19:5 **small** [1] - 19:10 Smith [1] - 19:22 **so-called**[1] - 21:13 sometimes [2] - 21:14, 22:18 Sorry [1] - 11:13 SOTTY [5] - 17:21, 18:4,

19:13, 20:4, 24:1 sort [1] - 8:2 Sotto [1] - 17:22 SOUTHERN 111 - 1:1 Southern [1] - 11:19 **speaking**[1] - 15:5 **specific** [3] - 3:12, 3:17, specifically [1] - 5:22 **spelled** [1] - 12:11 stage [10] - 2:25, 11:4, 14:18. 14:20. 15:6. 17:7, 17:11, 17:15, 18:10, 24:7 standard [6] - 4:12, 4:14, 4:16, 8:20, 20:22 standards [2] - 9:4, 20:20 standing [10] - 3:22, 12:4, 13:21, 13:23, 14:15, 18:23, 20:1, 20:7, 23:2, 26:23 start [1] - 11:21 **started** [1] - 3:10 state [11] - 2:3, 5:2, 12:13. 12:20. 12:21. 13:7, 14:21, 14:23, 14:25, 15:10, 17:3 **statement** [5] - 5:17, 6:10, 8:18, 13:8, 27:3 **statements** [5] - 3:12, 4:18, 6:25, 10:11, 10:21 states [5] - 5:5, 10:18, 12:16, 12:25, 17:5 States [1] - 20:20 STATES [1] - 1:1 stating[1] - 22:18 stenography[1] - 1:25 still [1] - 22:24 stop[1] - 20:11 stopped [3] - 19:8, 19:17. 23:10 straight[1] - 23:19 Street [1] - 1:23 streets [1] - 22:25 **stuff** [1] - 3:13 subclassing[1] - 17:3 subject [3] - 3:1, 15:25,

25:14 submitted [1] - 22:14 suffered [1] - 23:4 sufficient [1] - 4:25 Suite [3] - 1:13, 1:20, 1:23 summary [1] - 24:11 Supp [1] - 12:19 supposed[1] - 26:16 Supreme [1] - 14:6 survey [1] - 14:21 sweep[1] - 20:24 switch[1] - 7:2

#### Т

talks [1] - 12:17

tendency[1] - 8:13

tested<sub>[1]</sub> - 9:3 testing[1] - 9:11 TEXAS [1] - 1:1 Texas [10] - 1:14, 1:24, 4:9, 10:20, 11:21, 12:16, 13:14, 13:15, 14:6, 26:19 THE [98] - 1:7, 1:8, 1:15, 2:2, 2:10, 2:13, 2:23, 3:2, 3:19, 3:21, 3:24, 4:5, 4:8, 4:15, 4:23, 5:8, 5:13, 5:18, 6:4, 6:6, 6:9, 6:13, 6:17, 6:20, 6:24, 7:2, 7:11, 7:16, 7:20, 8:1, 8:6, 8:13, 8:15, 8:17, 8:21, 9:5, 9:9, 9:11, 9:14, 9:19, 9:22, 10:10, 10:19, 10:24, 11:5, 11:13, 11:16, 11:21, 11:24, 12:2, 12:6, 12:22, 12:24, 13:5, 13:15, 13:20, 14:16, 14:24, 15:9, 15:13, 16:19, 17:1, 17:13, 17:19, 17:25, 18:4, 18:7, 18:15, 18:24, 19:12, 19:14, 19:18, 21:10, 21:21, 21:24, 22:3, 23:24, 24:11, 24:18, 24:23, 25:4, 25:7, 25:11, 25:16, 25:19, 25:22, 26:7, 26:10, 27:10, 27:14, 27:19, 27:24, 28:5, 28:8, 28:10, 28:14,

theory [2] - 8:22, 19:7 thousands [2] - 22:21, 22:24 tie [1] - 3:7 tighter[1] - 28:22 today<sub>[1]</sub> - 3:22 together [1] - 3:7 track [1] - 17:12 Trade [2] - 4:10, 10:20 transcript<sub>[1]</sub> - 29:9 Transcript[1] - 1:25 transcription[1] - 1:25 treatment [1] - 13:16 trebling [1] - 23:15 trigger [2] - 5:20, 21:18 true [2] - 5:6, 21:16 try [1] - 28:19 trying [1] - 26:24 two [1] - 12:22 type [4] - 12:13, 14:14, 24:3. 27:9

28:18, 29:4

## U

U.S<sub>[2]</sub> - 8:20, 9:4 under [3] - 9:4, 20:15, 26:19 undermines [3] -18:17, 18:18, 19:1 understood<sub>[2]</sub> - 3:4. 3:13 undetermined [1] -7.9 unduly [1] - 8:16 uniformly [1] - 27:3 unit<sub>[1]</sub> - 15:21 United [1] - 20:20 UNITED [1] - 1:1 units [1] - 16:6 unjust [2] - 4:6, 12:19 unless [1] - 5:20 unquote [1] - 3:18 unrelated [2] - 2:22, 13.2 unsafe<sub>[1]</sub> - 20:12 unspecified [1] - 3:5

UP [3] - 2:3, 24:2, 25:8 upgrade [19] - 9:17, 9:19, 16:15, 16:23, 18:16, 20:5, 21:13, 22:16, 22:19, 23:13, 23:16, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:16, 24:18, 25:5, 25:8 USA<sub>[1]</sub> - 12:18 users [1] - 15:24

# V

valid [1] - 20:9 variability [3] - 13:2. 15:10, 17:4 variations [3] - 12:24, 14:25. 17:4 variety [3] - 4:3, 20:16, 20:22 various [2] - 12:24, 14:25 VOCE [1] - 17:22 Volume [2] - 1:7 **voluntary** [17] - 9:19, 16:23, 18:16, 21:13, 22:16, 23:13, 23:16, 23:20, 24:5, 24:16, 24:18, 24:24, 24:25, 25:4, 25:5, 25:8 vulnerability[1] - 9:3

### W

wait [4] - 16:19, 17:14,

24:23

waiting[1] - 17:7 wants<sub>[1]</sub> - 23:18 warn [1] - 26:20 warning[3] - 5:23, 6:16, 6:21 warrantied[1] - 4:11 warranties[1] - 10:1 warranty<sub>[7]</sub> - 4:2, 4:3, 10:17, 12:13, 20:16, 20:22, 22:15 warranty-type [1] -12:13 weapon[7] - 4:20, 6:14, 7:21, 8:23, 15:25, 25:18, 27:15 weapons [2] - 8:18,

8:23 website[10] - 3:23, 5:19, 6:24, 7:5, 7:10, 7:12, 7:17, 7:24, 7:25, 8:1 week<sub>[1]</sub> - 20:17 weeks[1] - 21:14 Wells [4] - 1:23, 29:8, 29:12, 29:13 whereas<sub>[2]</sub> - 14:2, 14:11 whole [1] - 20:6 wholly<sub>[1]</sub> - 18:18 withstand [2] - 6:1, 6:2 word [2] - 9:1, 13:12

# Υ

year<sub>[1]</sub> - 7:20 years [1] - 5:13 York [4] - 1:10, 1:21, 11:20